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Abstract
This paper highlights how the effect of household socioeconomic status on utilization of maternal health services changes over time concomitant with transformations of the social context, such as political economic transitions in the case of Tanzania. Using pooled data from four rounds of Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) from Tanzania, this paper applies multilevel logistic regressions to examine how the effect of household wealth on utilization of medically-assisted birth delivery services (controlling for individual attributes) is mediated by place characteristics (regional wealth and urban/rural residence) and period (liberalization era 1990s vs. Millennium Development Goals era 2000s). With implications on health inequality in transition economies, the analysis finds statistically significant interaction effects between household wealth and place characteristics. The analysis also finds interaction effects between household wealth and period.
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Introduction
Maternal mortality is still a pressing issue in low-income nations such as Tanzania. Recent initiatives, including the Millennium Development Goals, have brought reduction of maternal deaths to the forefront of global priorities. Tanzania experienced a decline in maternal mortality in the last two decades. Life time risk of maternal mortality decreased from 5.3 in 1990 to 2.6 in 2010 and maternal mortality declined from 870 per 100,000 live births in 1990 to 460 per 100,000 live births in 2010 (World Bank 2013).

In low-income nations, the risks of maternal and newborn deaths are highly associated with access to basic reproductive health services. Pregnant women with access to prenatal care from medical professionals and those who deliver their newborns under supervision of medically-trained professionals have a relatively low risk of maternal mortality (Khan, Wojdyla, et al. 2006.). The decline in maternal mortality risk in Tanzania between 1990 and 2010, for example, went hand-in-hand with an increase in access to maternal health care. The percentage of women receiving prenatal care in Tanzania increased from 62.2% in 1992 to 87.8% in
2010, and the percentage of births attended by skilled health professionals increased from 43.9% to 48.9% in the same time period (World Bank 2013). However, time-trend data show a slight decline in both prenatal care access and proportion of births attended by health professionals in mid to late 1990s before resuming an upward trend again in early 2000s (World Bank 2013).

The observed slight decline in access to maternal health care in the mid-1990s, the period that coincides with liberalization reforms, raises important empirical questions about the relationship between structural political-economic transformation and long-term health outcomes. One question of interest, for example, is whether the downward trend in the 1990s and the eventual upward trend of increasing access in the 2000s redefined maternal health inequality in the country. For instance, if the decline in use emerged from a decline in maternal services provisions during the economic reforms of the 1990s, was the experience similar across socioeconomic groups?

I used Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) data on access to maternal health care to shed light on the manner by which utilization was mediated by contextual variables: household wealth, place, and period. Through logistic (multilevel) regression models, I examined three research questions exploring the relationship between shifting social context and utilization of maternal health service during liberalization (1990s) and after liberalization (2000s). First, does the region where one lives impact access to maternal health net of individual and household circumstances? Second, since household wealth is positively associated with access and use of maternal health services (Tawiah 2011), does the effect of household wealth vary by characteristics of the place (region) where one lives? For instance, does the effect of own wealth (household wealth) vary between wealthier regions and poorer regions? And third, does the effect of household wealth on maternal care access vary between the liberalization period (1990s) compared to the post-liberalization/Millennium Development Goals era (2000s)?

This paper thus contributes to the empirical literature on the relationship between political-economic transformations in transitional societies and health outcomes. The particular context of Tanzania’s transition to a market economy offers an especially effective backdrop for the stated empirical question. In Tanzania, liberalization called for termination of their rural-centric policies of the centralized economy (ujamaa) era which had a particular emphasis on spatial (rural-urban) equity in access to development services, such as health and education.

**Literature review**

**Determinants of utilization of professional maternal health services**

Utilization of professional maternal health services is determined by both demand-side factors, such as preference for professional care over other options, and supply-side considerations, such as availability of a hospital or a clinic within reasonable distance, cost of travel, cost of provided services, etc. There is some evidence that some women in Tanzania would prefer not to use medical professionals for their maternal health needs for varieties of reasons (van Rijssbergen and D’Exelle 2013). However, for the most part, use of professional maternal health services is predicated on accessibility and cost of the given services (Kowalewski, Mujinja, and Jahn 2002), and also on anticipated quality of the services (Kruk, Rockers, et al. 2010). In Tanzania, there is a noted preference for medically-based maternal care over other alternatives, such as seeking care from “traditional” midwives (Mbaruku, Msambichaka et al. 2009). Alternatives to the preferred professional services are only sought when the availability of medical facilities is limited (Mbaruku, Msambichaka et al. 2009). As such, in this paper, use or non-use of professional maternal care services is used as a proxy for availability of such services within a reasonable distance and at an affordable cost.

The available literature on determinants of professional maternal care utilization characterizes users and non-users by demographic factors, household features, and place-of-residence characteristics (Mrisho et al. 2007, Tann et al. 2007, Stephenson et al. 2006, Yanagisawa 2006, Anwar et al. 2005; Magadi 2004; Glei, Goldman and Rodriguez 2003; Magadi et al. 2003, Paul and Rumsey 2002). Education, age, and parity are among the demographic factors associated with maternal health services utilization. Educated mothers are more likely to use professional maternal health because they are more likely to be able to afford the services and they are better informed about the risks associated with not utilizing them. Utilization increases with age but peaks at some point thus exhibiting a curvilinear relationship. There is a negative association between parity and utilization of maternal health services; with every additional child the likelihood of utilizing professional maternal health services decreases.
Socioeconomic status and household wealth are positively associated with the likelihood of using professional maternal care in Tanzania (Spangler and Bloom 2010) since they influence both the ability to travel to the source and the ability to pay for the cost of the services. Finally, place of residence also determines who utilizes professional maternal health facilities. Residents of rural areas in low-income nations are less likely to utilize such services because of limited access (Tawiah 2011).

**Political-economic transitions in Tanzania**

**Post-Independence ujamaa villages**

In the 1960s, Tanzania adopted a “centralized” political economic system that aimed at creating a collective/communal system of production and distribution of goods and services (ujamaa) and national self-reliance (kujitegemea). Advocated by the first president J.K Nyerere, *Ujamaa na Kujitegemea* was made official by the Arusha Declaration in 1967 which planned among other things to establish public ownership and control of means of production and exchange, to foster the virtues of equality, and to emphasize on rural development (Nyerere 1968, 1973, 1985).

Through *ujamaa* a village resettlement project was implemented intending to extend “development” to rural areas that had been neglected during the colonial era (Hydén 1980). The Tanzania villagization project was among the largest rural resettlement project ever to be attempted in Africa (Jennings 2008, Scott 1998). Critics have however pointed out that the objective of improving living conditions in the rural areas failed (Schneider 2007, 2010; Scott 1998). Furthermore, the heavily centralized nature of the project led to minimal participation in decision-making and planning at the local level (Shivji and Peter 2003), and at times violation of rural citizens’ civil liberties (Schneider 2010).

The impact of *ujamaa* policies on long term development and equity in access to social services in the country is still an open debate. Particularly, given that *ujamaa* policies consciously attempted to use rural areas as the focal point of economic production and distribution of social services, it makes one wonder whether discontinuation of the project at the onset of liberalization potentially created new channels of spatial (rural/urban) inequality or exacerbated the already existed disparities.

**Structural adjustment and privatization in the 1990s**

Similar to other Global South nations, liberalization was implemented in Tanzania under pressure from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) through its “conditional loans” initiatives also known as Structural Adjustment Programs (SAP). Early loan agreements were unsuccessful because the Tanzanian government failed to meet the prescribed conditions (Gibbon 1995, Stein 1991) partly due to ideological contradiction between the prescribed policies and *Ujamaa na Kujitegemea* philosophy. Similar attempts at “home grown” programs, such as the National Economic Survival Program (NESP) from 1981 -1982 also failed to materialize (Amani, Wangwe *et al.* 2006; Gibbon 1995).

Eventually, successful loan agreements between the IMF and Tanzania government included a three year Structural Adjustment Facility (SAF) from 1987-1990 and Enhanced Structural Adjustment Facility (ESAF) in 1991 (Amani, Wangwe *et al.* 2006). Through these loan agreements Tanzania officially transitioned to liberalization in the 1990s. ESAF introduced the typical template of “structural adjustment” measures including reducing government’s involvement in the economy through privatization, liberalization of financial markets and banking system in order to create an enabling environment for foreign investment, and budget austerity measures.

The crucial structural changes from these policies which would have impacted health access are privatization of state-owned enterprises and budget austerity. Privatization has been noted to lead to an increase in unemployment (Sillanes and Chong 2002) and Tanzania was not an exception on this regard (Mkenda 2005, Mwandenga 2000). Privatization thus has an indirect effect on health via its effect on income fluctuations that are brought about by the increases in unemployment that accompany it. Budget austerity, on the other hand, impacts health access directly as it leads to reduced government spending on social services.

Early empirical studies highlighted a detrimental impact of SAP on maternal and reproductive health in Tanzania (Richey 2004, Lugala 1995). These early studies, however, mainly focused on the consequences of introduction of individual co-pay also known as “user fees” (e.g., Litvack and Bodart 1993, Huber 1993) as opposed to long term changes in health access.
Late 1990s and 2000s: The era of adjustment with a human face and millennium development goals

Due to growing concerns over negative social consequences of the initial implementation of SAP in the early 1990s, discussions emerged urging for more sensitivity towards adverse effects of the reforms, especially on the economically vulnerable segments of the population. As a result of these debates, “SAP with a human face” programs were implemented to alleviate the negative consequences of the previous policies on social welfare (Jolly 1991). Under these new initiatives, adjustment program started to take into consideration countries’ level of indebtedness and poverty. The repackaged poor-friendly special programs included, among others, the Highly Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) initiative and the Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSP). Tanzania was among the beneficiaries of these programs. Qualitatively, this was a beginning of a new era of adjustment more cognizant of “human cost” of adjustment demarcating the early 1990s era of stringent loan conditions. The late 1990s to early 2000s period was also characterized by massive global initiatives aiming to reduce extreme poverty, such as the Millennium Development Goals, which listed reduction of maternal and child morbidity and mortality among its priorities.

Hypotheses

Given these structural transformations, I posit that determinants of maternal health access in the 1990 to 2010 period, specifically the effect of household wealth, would vary by context.

(i) **Place context hypothesis**: Following the termination of the community-oriented ujamaa, during the individual-oriented privatization and liberalization era (1990 onwards) household wealth is a stronger predictor of utilization of professional maternal health services than place characteristics (regional wealth and urban/rural residence).

(ii) **Period context hypothesis**: All households, regardless of their wealth level, experienced improved access to maternal health services in the 2000s post-liberalization era of massive extreme poverty eradication initiatives, such as the Millennium Development Goals, relative to the 1990s period of privatization and structural adjustment.

Data and methods

Data

Statistical data for this paper were obtained from nationally representative Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) by pooling four rounds of standard Tanzania DHS collected in 1996, 1999, 2004-05, and 2010. I used the retrospective fertility histories (Birth Files) which contain vital statistics for all children born to an interviewed woman. To reduce recall bias, I limited the analysis in this paper to children born in the five year period preceding a given survey. The pooled birth files from the four DHS data collection rounds in Tanzania thus cover children born between 1990 and 2010 with a total of 28,605 live births. I finally merged the pooled Birth Files with information on household and community characteristics available in the DHS individual (women) data files.

Variables and measures

I used utilization of birth delivery services from medically-trained personnel as the dependent variable. This variable was coded as 1 if a birth delivery attendant was a medically-trained professional (i.e., a doctor, a nurse or a midwife, an auxiliary midwife or an MCH aide, a clinical officer, or an assistant clinical officer), and was coded as 0 if otherwise.

Independent variables include known determinants of use of professional maternal care which includes mother’s demographic attributes (age, education, and parity/birth order), household wealth, and place of residence. For mothers’ age, I also included an age-squared variable to capture the non-linear effect of age. I categorized mothers’ educational attainment using three dummy variables corresponding to no education, primary education, and secondary education or higher.

I adopted the DHS composite index of household wealth, which is calculated from households’ ownership of selected assets, as a background measure of household socioeconomic status. I used the categorical version of the index which groups households into five quintiles of the factor analysis scores ranging from one, lowest wealth, to five, highest wealth. I categorized households as low Socioeconomic Status (SES) households if they fall in the 1st or 2nd quintile, mid SES if they fall in the 3rd or the 4th quintile, and as high SES if they fall in the top quintile. I also computed means of the factor scores on the wealth index by region, which I used as a proxy for the overall wealth level of a given region.

In addition, I created two measures of place of residence including a dummy variable indicating...
whether a household is located in an urban area and an identifier of region. Regions are the second administrative unit in Tanzania below the state level. The pooled DHS data contained information from all regions of the union government including 22 from mainland Tanzania and five from the isles of Zanzibar and Pemba.ii

Furthermore, I added an identification of calendar year to capture the serial trend in services utilization by coding 1990 – 2010 as 1-20. The year variable was also broken into two period dummies to separate the 1990s period, the peak of liberalization, from the 2000s, the post-liberalization era of anti-poverty initiatives, such as the Millennium Development Goals project.

Finally, I created interaction variables to examine the hypothesized contextual changes of the effect of household wealth by place and time. The first set of interaction variables are two household wealth/SES-place interaction variables including household wealth (as low, mid, or high SES) interacted with regional mean wealth and household SES interacted with urban location. The second set of interaction variables incorporated three wealth-period interactions including household wealth (as low, mid, or high SES) interacted with the dummy identifier for the 2000s post-liberalization period, regional mean wealth interacted with the dummy for the 2000s period, and urban residence interacted with the dummy for the 2000s period.  

Analytical technique

Since the dependent variable is binary, I apply logistic regression models. I start with a basic logistic model predicting log-odds of having had a birth assisted by a medically-trained professional using the following predictors: mothers’ age and education, household wealth, birth order, urban residency, and year. The logit estimation was as follows:

\[
\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ij}}{1-\pi_{ij}}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Age}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{Age Squared}_{ij} + \beta_3 \text{Education}_{ij} + \beta_4 \text{Household Wealth}_{ij} + \beta_5 \text{Urban}_{ij} + \beta_6 \text{Year}_{ij}
\]

where \(\pi_{ij}\) is the probability that infant \(i\)'s mother in region \(j\) utilized professional birth delivery services, \(\beta_0\) is the intercept, \(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\) are the coefficients associated with the respective variables. The generic multilevel logistic model of log-odds of mother of child \(i\) born in region \(j\) having utilized professional birth delivery services is thus as follows:

\[
\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ij}}{1-\pi_{ij}}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Age}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{Age Squared}_{ij} + \beta_3 \text{Education}_{ij} + \beta_4 \text{Household Wealth}_{ij} + \beta_5 \text{Urban}_{ij} + \beta_6 \text{Year}_{ij} + U_{0j}
\]

where \(\pi_{ij}\) is the probability that infant \(i\)'s mother in region \(j\) utilized professional birth delivery services, \(\beta_0\) is the intercept shared by all regions, and \(U_{0j}\) is the random effect specific to region \(j\).

I finally estimated series of models with interaction variables to examine how the effect of household wealth varies by the two hypothesized contextual variables: place and period. I ran two sets of models. Models in the first set examined the place context whereas the second set explored the period context. These were expanded models building on the basic model above. For the first set (place context), I estimated two expanded models by adding the following interaction variables to the above model: (i) household wealth interacted with region of residence's mean wealth and (ii) urban residency interacted with region of residence's mean wealth. For the second set (period context), I estimated three expanded models adding one set of interaction variables at a time starting with (i) household wealth interacted with the dummy for the 2000s period, (ii) regional mean wealth interacted with the dummy for the 2000s period, and (iii) urban residency interacted with the 2000s period.

All regressions were estimated using STATA.

**Results**

**Summary statistics**

Raw yearly data from the World Bank’s development indicators show an upward trend in both the proportion of births attended by skilled staff and proportional of pregnant women receiving prenatal care (Figure 1). There was, however, a slight decline in access to these services in the 1990s.
Figure 1: Percent of Women Receiving Maternal Health Care, 1990-2010

The relationship between household SES and utilization of professional delivery services varies by region. In overall, the higher the household’s SES the higher the likelihood of a household member seeking birth delivery services from a medically-trained professional. However, as Figure 2 shows, the difference between the proportion of women from poor (low SES) households and the proportion from rich (high SES) household seeking these services varies by region. For example, rich-poor in utilization is higher in Dodoma and Kigoma compared to Kilimanjaro and Dar es Salaam.

Source: World Development Indicators, World Bank.
Figure 2: Proportion of women WHO utilized birth delivery services from medically-trained professionals categorized by household SES in selected regions of Tanzania, DHS, 1990-2010.

On the other hand, the yearly relative difference between proportions of low and high SES household members seeking professional delivery care is not as pronounced. Across all years, the proportion of women from high SES household who utilized professional delivery services is consistently higher than those from low and mid SES with difference between low and high SES only changing slightly (Figure 3).

SES key: 1= low SES, 2= mid SES, 3= high SES
Region key: 1= Dodoma, 3= Kilimanjaro, 7= Dar es Salaam, 9= Mtwara, 12= Mbeya, 16= Kigoma, 19= Mwanza, 22= Zanzibar
Figure 3: Proportion of women who utilized birth delivery services from medically-trained professionals categorized by household SES in Tanzania in selected years, DHS, 1990-2010.

Logistic vs. contextual multilevel logistic models of predictors of use of professional maternal health services

Congruent with other studies, the logistic regressions results (Table 1 models Ia and Ib) show that education, household wealth, and being a resident of an urban area increases the log odds of having used birth delivery assistance from medically-trained professionals, whereas the log odds decrease with mothers’ age and birth order/parity. Also, log odds of receiving professional delivery care increase with calendar year, which points to the expansion of access over time.
Table 1: Comparison of logistic and multilevel estimates of predictors of birth delivery by a medically-trained professional in Tanzania, DHS, 1990-2010 (N = 28,605)

|                                | Coeff.     | P>|z|  | Coeff.     | P>|z|  |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----|------------|-----|
| Mother's age                   | -0.051     | 0.000 | -0.050     | 0.001 |
| Mother's age squared           | 0.002      | 0.000 | 0.001      | 0.000 |
| Birth order                    | -0.228     | 0.000 | -0.186     | 0.000 |
| Education (no educ as ref)     |            |      |            |      |
| Primary education              | 0.623      | 0.000 | 0.565      | 0.000 |
| Secondary education or higher  | 0.745      | 0.000 | 0.926      | 0.000 |
| Household wealth (low SES as ref) |          |      |            |      |
| Mid SES                        | 0.388      | 0.000 | 0.417      | 0.000 |
| High SES                       | 1.336      | 0.000 | 1.366      | 0.000 |
| Urban                          | 1.098      | 0.000 | 1.061      | 0.000 |
| Year                           | 0.009      | 0.000 | 0.014      | 0.000 |
| Constant                       | -0.359     | 0.096 | -0.324     | 0.185 |

Variance of random effect $U_0$

LR test for R.E vs. Logistic: 1052.640, 0.000

Likelihood ratio tests comparing the logistic estimation with the multilevel logistic estimation show an improvement in model-fit when the random effects are included in the multilevel setup (the likelihood ratio chi-squared tests had p-value = 0.000).

How place of residence changes the effect of household wealth on the likelihood of utilizing professional birth delivery services

The results show that, on its own, the regional average wealth does not have an additional statistically significant effect on the likelihood of seeking delivery assistance from professionals net of household wealth and the other predictors (Table 2 model IIa). However, the effect of own household’s wealth has a statistically significant interactive effect with regional average wealth; with the gap in utilization between low and high SES households diminishing as regional average wealth increases (Table 2 model IIb).
Table 2: The effect of place on determinants of birth delivery by a medically-trained professional in Tanzania, DHS, 1990 -2010 (N = 28,605)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model II(a)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model II (b)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model II(c)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coeff.</td>
<td>P&gt;</td>
<td>z</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coeff.</td>
<td>P&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's age</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's age squared</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth order</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (no educ as ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education or higher</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household wealth (low SES as ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid SES</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High SES</td>
<td>1.364</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.526</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.060</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.305</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional wealth</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid SES*Regional wealth</td>
<td>-0.187</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High SES*Regional wealth</td>
<td>-0.383</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban*Regional wealth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.407</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-0.855</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>-1.468</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-1.301</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of random effect U0j (std. error in parentheses)</td>
<td>0.22(0.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23(0.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23(0.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR test for R.E vs Logistic</td>
<td>1038.460</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1056.010</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1067.630</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The urban/rural effect also varies by regions' average wealth. The gap between rural and urban areas in utilization of professional delivery services is lower in wealthier regions (Table 2 model IIc). As illustrated on Figure 4 using predicted probabilities, the difference in predicted probability of using professional birth delivery services between rural and urban dwellers is higher in poor regions (1st quartile in the regional wealth distribution) than in in wealthy regions (4th quartile).
Figure 4: How the effect of residing in rural or urban area on the probability of utilizing medical birth delivery services vary by regional wealth, DHS, 1990-2010

How period changes the effect of household wealth on the likelihood of utilizing professional birth delivery services

The effect of infant mother’s household wealth on the likelihood of having a birth assisted by a medically trained professional also has an interactive effect with period. Mothers from both mid SES and high SES households have a higher probability of using professional birth delivery services in the 2000s than in the 1990s (Table 3 model IIIb). Figure 5 illustrates this interaction between household wealth and period. However, low SES families appear to have a slightly lower probability of utilizing professional birth delivery services in the 2000s than in the 1990s when everything else is held constant.
Table 3: The effect of period on determinants of birth delivery by a medically-trained professional in Tanzania, DHS, 1990 -2010 (N = 28,605)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model III(a)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model III(b)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model III(c)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Model III(d)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coeff.</td>
<td>P&gt;</td>
<td>z</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coeff.</td>
<td>P&gt;</td>
<td>z</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's age</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother's age squared</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth order</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.184</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (no educ as ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education or higher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household wealth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid SES</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High SES</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.367</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.074</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000s period</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>-0.786</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid SES*2000s period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High SES*2000s period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional wealth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional wealth*2000s period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban*2000s period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-0.301</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>-0.384</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>-0.297</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of random effect $\mu_0$ (std. error in parentheses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR test for R.E vs. Logistic</td>
<td>1053.23</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1065.31</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1062.82</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1053.57</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Also, regional wealth seems to have a bigger impact on utilization of professional delivery services in the 2000s relative to the 1990s (Table 3 model IIIc). However, the results do not yield statistically significant effects for an interaction between urban residency and period (Table 3 model IIId). That is, even though in itself residing in urban areas enhances the likelihood of using professional delivery care, this effect does not differ between the 1990s period relative to the 2000s period.

**Discussion**
This paper uses DHS data on utilization of maternal health services to illustrate how context interacts with individual and household characteristics, especially household SES, in predicting the likelihood of using birth delivery services from medically-trained experts. Similar to studies which have explored other low-income countries, in Tanzania, educated women, women from wealthy households, and those residing in urban areas are more likely to seek maternal health services from professionals. Also, the analysis finds that utilization has been improving over time.

More importantly, the results point to persisting inequalities in utilization of professional maternal care services both across geographical space and over time. The analysis shows that living in a region that is relatively wealth buffers the negative impact of having low wealth, meaning infrastructural advantages of a place where one lives still matter in determining use and access to maternal health facilities. The existed inequalities between regions, which *ujamaa* policies attempted to alleviate, are thus manifested in the observed disparities in maternal health access.

The analysis also finds that utilization of professional maternal health services in the post-liberalization 2000s is higher among mid and high SES households than among low SES households signaling to a potentially growing inequality. Maternal health utilization by low SES household appears to have decreased in the 2000s relative to the 1990s according to the analysis. This result thus supports the idea of expanding maternal health services targeting the poor, which is the idea behind initiatives such as Millennium Development Goals.

This paper calls for more research that examines the links between structural changes and demographic outcomes especially since Social Demography has a methodological comparative

Figure 5: How the effect of urban/rural residence on the probability of utilizing medical birth delivery services differs between the 1990s period compared to the 2000s period, DHS, 1990-2010
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advantage at highlighting long-trends using comprehensive datasets such as DHS. The analysis shows that overall trends can mask remarkable heterogeneity across groups in different places and different time periods. Such differences can be made legible when the aggregate demographic trends are explored in relation to the wide social context as done in this paper.

Finally, the limitations of the analysis conducted in this paper should also be taken into consideration. In this paper, use of the aforementioned maternal care services was considered synonymous with access because the objective of the analysis and the paper is to draw conclusions on how structural reforms potentially changed the context of health care access in Tanzania. Such an extrapolation is not as misleading since research shows that in low-income nations, utilization of health services is highly conditioned by access rather than preferences (Kruk, Rockers, et al. 2010; Mbaruku, Msambichaka et al. 2009; Kowalewski, Mujinja, and Jahn 2002). However, if data on individual preferences for maternal health services from medical professionals were available, stronger conclusions could have been drawn. Also, having data on other predictors of service utilization, such as distance to a clinic or cost of services, would have improved the analysis. Information on distance to a health center and data on medical costs was not available in all of the four Tanzania DHS used.

**Conclusion**

Determinants of utilization of maternal and reproductive health services in low-income nations include demographic attributes, household circumstances, and community context. This paper focused on the interaction effect between household wealth/SES and context (both place and period). The overarching objective was to highlight the link between a shifting political-economic context and health access in Tanzania. The political-economic changes included budget austerity measures that led to a shift from government sponsored health services to individual responsibility through user fees and economic restructuring through privatization.

The analysis showed that the effect of household wealth on the likelihood of accessing professional maternal services is conditioned on place (region) characteristics. The results are contrary to the stated hypothesis, which speculated that personal and household wealth would be the paramount predictor of utilization of professional maternal health services in the individual-focused liberalization era. The analysis shows that women from low SES households living in a relatively wealthier region have a higher likelihood of using professional maternal health services than their counterparts from poorer regions.

Also, contrary to the second hypothesis, not all household types show a statistically significant increase in odds of utilizing professional birth delivery services in the 2000s. The impact of household SES on professional maternal health utilization varies between the 1990s and the 2000s period. Mid and high SES households enjoyed an increase in utilization of these services in the 2000s relative to the 1990s, whereas low SES households show a slight decline.

To sum up, the analysis and the results in this paper show that there is a wide variation among groups in utilization of professional maternal health services regardless of the observed overall improvement in access. Place matters. Poor households in wealthy regions are better off than their counterparts in poor regions. Rural residents from wealthy region are also better off than their counterparts in poor regions. In addition, the analysis shows that the improvements in health access have not been enjoyed by all. Poor households are worse off in the post-liberalization 2000s period relative to the 1990s.
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1 Life time risk of maternal death is the probability that a 15-year-old female will die eventually from a maternal cause assuming that current levels of fertility and mortality (including maternal mortality) do not change in the future.

2 For consistency across rounds of the DHS data, I merged recently created regions, such as Manyara, with the main region which they were a district of before becoming stand-alone regions. The same applies to the new regions from isles Zanzibar and Pemba. Ultimately, the data thus incorporated 22 regions (20 from mainland and 2 from the islands), which corresponds to 1990s regional divisions.